



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/605,466	06/28/2000	Yasuaki Yamagishi	SUGI-T0731	6096

7590 10/06/2003
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL
P O Box 061080
Wacker Drive Station - Sears Tower
Chicago, IL 60606-1080

EXAMINER

HU, JINSONG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2154

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/605,466

Applicant(s)

YAMAGISHI ET AL.

Examiner

Jinsong Hu

Art Unit

2154

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 April 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-32 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jeyaraman (US 6,311,187 B1).

4. As per claim 1, Jeyaraman teaches the invention as claimed including a transmitting apparatus [col. 1, lines 17-22], comprising:

transmitting means [104, Fig. 1] for transmitting the same contents of update information a plurality number of times, the update information [230, Fig. 2] representing that data has been updated [col. 2, lines 16-18; col. 5, lines 14-16],

wherein the transmission timing of the same contents of the update information transmitted by said transmitting means is designated corresponding to operating state

information [120, Fig. 1] of receiving means [106, Fig. 1] that receives the update information from said transmitting means [col. 2, lines 1-24; col. 5, lines 6-16].

5. As per claims 2 and 3, Jeyaraman teaches the transmission timing is a time zone in which the update information is transmitted [col. 7, lines 20-24].

6. As per claims 4-6, Jeyaraman teaches the transmitting apparatus is a transmitting device for transmitting a hierarchical structure of a directory for hierarchically managing locations of contents data [col. 1, lines 17-22], comprising:

managing means for managing a hierarchical structure of a directory [Fig. 6A-6K] composed of a container entry [D, Fig. 6A] and a leaf entry [Se, Fig. 6A], a container entry containing information in the immediately lower hierarchical level thereof, a leaf entry being disposed in the immediately lower hierarchical level of a container entry, a leaf entry not containing information in the immediately lower hierarchical level thereof [col. 8, lines 14-29];

detecting means for detecting a change of the hierarchical structure of the directory managed by said managing means and obtaining first difference information and second difference information corresponding to the detected result [col. 2, lines 10-12; col. 5, lines 7-8], the first difference information being the difference of container entries, the second difference information being the difference of leaf entries [col. 9, line 40 – col. 10, line 15; col. 406, Fig. 4; col. 14, lines 44-46]; and transmitting means for transmitting third difference information for reproducing the hierarchical structure of the

Art Unit: 2154

directory at a predetermined time period along with the first difference information and the second difference information [408, Fig. 4; col. 2, lines 13-16; col. 14, lines 47-51],

wherein the predetermined time period is variably designated corresponding to the operating state information of a receiving side [120, Fig. 1; col. 7, lines 19-24].

7. As per claims 7-10, Jeyaraman teaches the third difference information is composed of information of container entries of the hierarchical structure of the directory and leaf entries in the immediately lower hierarchical level of each of container entries [col. 2, lines 13-16] and a predetermined time period [col. 7, lines 20-24].

8. As per claims 11 and 12, since they are method claims of claims 1 and 4, they are rejected for the same basis as claims 1 and 4 above.

9. As per claims 13-19, since they introduce the same limitation as claims 1 and 4-10 from different prospective respectively [i.e., receiving side], they are rejected for the same basis as claims 1 and 4-10 above.

10. As per claim 20, since it is a method claim of claim 13, it is rejected for the same basis as claim 13 above.

Art Unit: 2154

11. As per claims 21-32, since they are system and method claims of combination of claims 1, 4-10 and 13-19, they are rejected for the same basis as claims 1, 4-10 and 13-19 above.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

DeVries (US 5,721,914) discloses a Hierarchical structured data distribution system;

Chow et al. (US 6,029,175) discloses a system for automatically updating file;

Jeyaraman (US 6,377,957) discloses a system for updating a Hierarchical structured data;

Saether et al. (US 6,405,219) discloses a system for updating file content; and
Bienvenu et al. (US 6,526,438) discloses a distributing system.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinsong Hu whose telephone number is (703) 306 – 5932.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax number for Group 2100 is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/605,466
Art Unit: 2154

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 305-3900.

Jinsong Hu

September 25, 2003


ZARNI MAUNG
PRIMARY EXAMINER